PARTIES LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC, Plaintiffs, Case Number: C 14-03640-CW STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE VS. RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE CANON, INC., ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL Defendant(s). 10 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED 11 and MCM Portfolio LLC, 12 Plaintiffs, Case Number: C 14-03641-CW 13 STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE VS. 14 RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE FALCON COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.. ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES 15 AND COUNSEL 16 Defendant(s). 17 TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC, 18 Case Number: C 14-03642-CW 19 Plaintiffs, STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE 20 RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE VS.) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES 21 HITI DIGITAL AMERICA INC., AND COUNSEL 22 Defendant(s). 23 24 25 26 27 Page 1 of 8 C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, 28 C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW,

STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING PERMISSION TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

C 14-03647-CW

1	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	-))
2	Plaintiffs,) Case Number: C 14-03643-CW
3	Traintins,) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
4	VS.) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES
5	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,) AND COUNSEL
6	Defendant(s).	,))
7	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	<u>'</u>)
8	and MCM Portfolio LLC,) Case Number: C 14-03644-CW
9	Plaintiffs,) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
10 11	vs.) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES
12	KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.,) AND COUNSEL
13	Defendant(s).))
14	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,))
15	Plaintiffs,) Case Number: C 14-03645-CW
16 17	vs.	STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
18	NEWEGG INC., et al.,	ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIESAND COUNSEL
19	Defendant(s).))
20 21	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,)))
22	and Welvi i Ottono LLC,) Case Number: C 14-03646-CW
23	Plaintiffs,) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
24	vs.	RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
25	SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION, et al.,) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES) AND COUNSEL
26	Defendant(s).	<i>)</i>)
27	Pago	e 2 of 8
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW, C 14-03647-CW
	STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUES PERMISSION TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTII	STING

1	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	-))
2) Case Number: C 14-03647-CW
3	Plaintiffs,) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
4	vs.) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES
5	SHUTTLE COMPUTER GROUP INC.,) AND COUNSEL
6	Defendant(s).	<u>)</u>
7	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Plair	ntiffs Technology Properties Limited LLC
8	("TPL") and MCM Portfolio LLC ("MCM") ar	nd Defendants Canon Inc., Canon U.S.A., Inc.,
9	Falcon Northwest Computer Systems, Inc., Hill	Ti Digital America Inc., Hewlett-Packard
10	Company, Kingston Technology Company, In	c., Newegg Inc., Rosewill Inc., Seiko Epson
11	Corporation, Epson America, Inc. and Shuttle Corporation	Computer Group Inc. (collectively, "the parties")
12	respectfully submit this Stipulation for Administrative Relief for Plaintiffs to file the ADR	
13	Certifications by Parties and Counsel in the above-captioned matters.	
14	Plaintiffs state as follows in support of this stipulation:	
15	Plaintiffs have worked diligently	y to retain local counsel after this case was
16	transferred to this District.	
17	2. Plaintiffs have not yet been able	to retain local counsel. Thus, Plaintiffs have not
18	been able to move the Court for pro hac vice admission of its lead counsel.	
19	3. On October 22, 2014 and October 23, 2014, the Court entered Case Managemen	
20	Scheduling Orders in the above-captioned matters.	
21	4. Plaintiffs have served all parties to the above-captioned matter with the Orders.	
22	5. Defendants do not join in Plaintiffs' statements but do not oppose the relief	
23	requested by Plaintiffs.	
24	For these reasons, this stipulation respectfully requests administrative relief for Plaintiff	
25	undersigned counsel to file the ADR Certifications by Parties and Counsel (attached hereto as	
26	Exhibits A and B).	
27	 	e 3 of 8
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CV C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CV C 14-03647-C
	STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUES PERMISSION TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIE	STING

1		
2	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Benjamin R. Askew
3		Anthony G. Simon (pro hac vice forthcoming)
4		Michael P. Kella (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) Benjamin R. Askew (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)
5		Timothy D. Krieger (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming)
		THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C. 800 Market Street, Suite 1700
6		St. Louis, Missouri 63101
7		P. 314.241.2929 F. 314.241.2029
8		asimon@simonlawpc.com
9		mkella@simonlawpc.com
10		baskew@simonlawpc.com
10		tkrieger@simonlawpc.com
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Technology Properties
12		Limited, LLC and MCM Portfolio LLC
13	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Tracy A. Stitt (with consent)
14	Buted. Getober 29, 2011	Jacqueline K. S. Lee (State Bar No. 247705)
15		jkslee@jonesday.com
		JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road
16		Palo Alto, CA 94303
17		Telephone: (650) 739-3939
18		Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
19		Calvin P. Griffith (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
		cpgriffith@jonesday.com
20		David M. Maiorana (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
21		dmaiorana@jonesday.com JONES DAY
22		North Point
		901 Lakeside Avenue
23		Cleveland, OH 44114 Telephone: (216) 586-3939
24		Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
25		Tracy A. Stitt (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
26		tastitt@jonesday.com
27		Page 4 of 8
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03647-CW

1		JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
2		Washington, D.C. 20001
3		Telephone: (202) 879-3939 Facsimile: (202) 626-1700
4		Counsel for Defendants Canon Inc. and Canon
5		U.S.A., Inc.
6	Dated: October 29, 2014	Dyy /a/ David C. Hoffman (with consent)
7	Dated. October 29, 2014	By: /s/ David S. Hoffman (with consent) David M. Barkan
8		SBN 160825 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
9		500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
		Redwood City, California 94070-1526
10		Tel: (650) 839-5070
11		Fax: (650) 839-5071
12		Barkan@fr.com
13		David M. Hoffman (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
		One Congress Plaza, Suite 810
14		111 Congress Avenue
15		Austin, TX 78701
16		Tel: (512) 472-5070 Fax: (512) 320-8935
		Hoffman @fr.com
17		
18		Christine Yang LAW OFFICES OF S.J. CHRISTINE YANG
19		17220 Newhope Street, Suite 101-102
20		Fountain Valley, CA 92708
20		Tel: (714) 641-4022
21		Fax: (714) 641-2082 cyang@sjclawpc.com
22		
23		Attorneys for Defendant Kingston Technology Company, Inc.
24		
25	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Gordon M. Fauth, Jr. (with consent)
26		Gordon M. Fauth, Jr. (Cal. Bar No. 190280) gmf@classlitigation.com
27		Page 5 of 8
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW, C 14-03647-CW
	The second secon	

LITIGATION LAW GROUP 1 1801 Clement Ave., Suite 101 Alameda, CA 94501 2 Telephone: (510) m238-9610 Facsimile: (510) 337-1431 Kent E. Baldauf, Jr. (Pro Hac Vice admission pending) kbaldaufjr@webblaw.com Bryan P. Clark (*Pro Hac Vice* admission pending) bclark@webblaw.com THE WEBB LAW FIRM 420 Ft. Duquesne Blvd, Suite 1200 Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094 10 Attorneys for Defendants Falcon Northwest 11 Computer Systems, Inc., Newegg Inc. And Rosewill 12 Inc. 13 Dated: October 29, 2014 By: /s/Andrew T. Oliver (with consent) 14 Jenny W. Chen (Cal. Bar No. 205043) CHEN IP LAW GROUP 15 7F, No. 1, Alley 30, Lane 358, Rueiguang Road 16 Neihu District Taipei, Taiwan 114 17 Telephone: +886.2.7721.8855 Facsimile: +886.2.7721.8822 18 Email: Jenny.Chen@ ChenIPLaw.com 19 Andrew T. Oliver (Cal. Bar No. 226098) 20 AMIN, TUROCY & WATSON LLP 148 Castro Street, Suite B-20 21 Mountain View, CA 94041 22 Telephone: (650) 618-6477 Email: aoliver@ATWiplaw.com 23 Attorneys for Defendants Shuttle Computer Group 24 Inc. and HiTi Digital America, Inc. 25 26 27 Page 6 of 8 C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, 28 C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW. C 14-03647-CW

1	Dated: October 29, 2014	By: /s/ Matthew J. Hertko (with consent)
2		Kyle T. Barrett (State Bar No. 284595) kbarrett@jonesday.com
3		JONES DAY
4		1755 Embarcadero Road
		Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939
5		Facsimile: (650) 739-3900
6		William E. Devitt (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
7		wdevitt@jonesday.com
8		Matthew J. Hertko (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
		mhertko@jonesday.com
9		JONES DAY
10		77 W. Wacker, Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60601
1.1		Telephone: (312) 782-3939
11		Facsimile: (312) 782-8585
12		Attorneys for Defendants Seiko Epson Corp. and
13		Epson America, Inc.
14		
15		By: /s/ Megan Whyman Olsek (with consent)
16	l .	Megan Whyman Olesek (SBN 191218) KENYON & KENYON LLP
		1801 Page Mill Road, Suite 210
17		Palo Alto, CA 94304
18		Telephone: (650) 384-4700
10		Facsimile: (650) 384-4701 Email: molesek@kenyon.com
19		Email: molesek@kenyon.com
20		Marcia H. Sundeen (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
21		T. Cy Walker (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
22		KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K Street, NW, Suite 700
22		Washington, DC 20005
23		Telephone: 202-220-4200
24		Facsimile: 202-220-4201
25		Email: msundeen@kenyon.com Email: cwalker@kenyon.com
		Zinan. ewarker e kenyon.com
26		Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company
27	Pa	age 7 of 8
28		C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW,
	CTIDLIL ATION EOD ADMINISTDATIVE DELIEE DEOL	C 14-03647-CW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel for all parties of record on October 29, 2014 via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Benjamin R. Askew

Page **8** of **8**

C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03647-CW, C 14-03647-CW

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,))
Plaintiffs,) Case Number: C 14-03640-CW
vs.) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
CANON, INC.,) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).))
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	
Plaintiffs,) Case Number: C 14-03641-CW
vs.	 (PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
FALCON COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).))
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	
Plaintiffs,) Case Number: C 14-03642-CW
) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
vs. HITI DIGITAL AMERICA INC.,	 STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES
,) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).) _)

Page 1 of 3

C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW, C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW, C 14-03647-CW

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	
and MCM FORDING LLC,) Case Number: C 14-03643-CW
Plaintiffs,) IDDODOCEDI ODDED DECA PRIMO
VS.) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRA'
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILI) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTI) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).) AND COUNSEL
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_/))
Plaintiffs,) Case Number: C 14-03644-CW
,) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
vs. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.,	 STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRA' RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILI ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTI
Defendant(s).) AND COUNSEL
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC,	_)))
Districtes) Case Number: C 14-03645-CW
Plaintiffs, vs.) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRA'
NEWEGG INC., et al.,) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILI) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTI) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).)
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED	_/
and MCM Portfolio LLC,) Case Number: C 14-03646-CW
Plaintiffs,	,)
VS.	 (PROPOSED) ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRA RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILI
SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION, et al.,) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTI) AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	
 	ge 2 of 3
	C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03 C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03 C 14-03

RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

Case 4:14-cv-03645-CW Document 42 Filed 10/31/14 Page 11 of 15

TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and MCM Portfolio LLC, Plaintiffs, vs. SHUTTLE COMPUTER GROUP INC., Defendant(s)) Case Number: C 14-03647-CW) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING) STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE) RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE) ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES) AND COUNSEL
Pursuant to the above-referenced Stipul	lation for Administrative Relief for Plaintiffs to
_	el, for good cause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED
that Plaintiffs' lead counsel may file the ADR	Certifications by Parties and Counsel.
Dated: 10/31/2014 U	Taudia Wilken INITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
Pag	ge 3 of 3
	C14-03641-CW, C14-03642-CW, C 14-03643-CW C 14-03644-CW, C 14-03645-CW, C 14-03646-CW C 14-03647-CW

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STIPULATION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE

RELIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE ADR CERTIFICATIONS BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff Technology Properties Limited LLC's ADR Certification by Parties and Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Technology Properties Limited LLC, et al. Plaintiff(s), v. Canon, Inc., et al.	Case No. C14-03640 CW ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
Defendant(s).	
Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and A that he or she has:	DR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
*	
(2) Discussed the available dispute reentities; and	esolution options provided by the Court and private
(3) Considered whether this case mig resolution options.	ht benefit from any of the available dispute
	Technology Properties Limited LLC
Dated: October 22, 2014	(Party) Anochiyany

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the ADR Docket Event entitled "ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of Discussion of ADR Options."

/s/ Benjamin R. Askew

[Counsel]

Dated: October 22, 2014

EXHIBIT B

Plaintiff MCM Portfolio LLC's ADR Certification by Parties and Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Technology Properties Limited LLC, et al.	
71 1 100 3	Case No. C14-03640 CW
Plaintiff(s),	ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
V.	
Canon, Inc., et al.	
Defendant(s).	
Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR that he or she has:	L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
(1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute of California" on the Court's ADR Internet site copies are available from the clerk's office for p Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under Case	arties in cases not subject to the court's
(2) Discussed the available dispute resoluentities; and	ution options provided by the Court and private
(3) Considered whether this case might be resolution options.	penefit from any of the available dispute
October 22, 2014 Dated:	MCM Portfolio LLC Son Anhalt [Party] Susan Anhalt, Manager
Dated: <u>October 22</u> , 2014	/s/ Benjamin R. Askew [Counsel]
When filing this document in ECF, please be "ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of Discus	